This Chapter summarizes the policies of each of the leagues concerning performance-enhancing substances (“PES”) and drugs of abuse. As explained below, the leagues differ at times in their categorizations and treatments of different drugs and substances. Where appropriate, we will separate our analysis of the leagues’ policies by PES and drugs of abuse, but will collectively refer to the policies as the leagues’ “drug policies.”

Before analyzing the leagues’ drug policies, there are a few concepts and issues that need to be discussed, including what is meant by a “PES” and a “drug of abuse”; the unique case of marijuana; the purpose for the drug policies; therapeutic use exemptions; and, the role of unions in drug policies.
1) MEANINGS OF PES AND DRUGS OF ABUSE

The leagues generally do not provide an overarching definition for PES but instead ban a long list of substances that have the potential to enhance a player’s performance in ways that the league, sports community, and/or medical community has determined to be unfair, unnatural, and/or unsafe. As will be shown below, PES are also sometimes referred to as “performance-enhancing drugs” (“PEDs”). This term is slightly outdated as the term PES now better captures a wider variety of substances that athletes might try to use to enhance their performance.

Drugs of abuse is a term generally used by the leagues in reference to illegal substances that do not have the potential to enhance a player’s performance and which present serious health concerns. For example, MLB defines “drugs of abuse” to include marijuana, synthetic marijuana, cocaine, opiates, MDMA, GHB, and PCP. Similarly, the NFL defines “substances of abuse” as all illegal drugs, including but not limited to, marijuana, cocaine, opiates, MDMA, and PCP. Legal substances, including alcohol and prescription medications, can also fall within the purview of the leagues’ drugs of abuse policies if abused, as will be discussed below.

Finally, we use the terms “substance” and “drug” interchangeably. While they are not exactly analogous terms, the terms are often used interchangeably in the context of professional sports and thus serve our purposes here.

2) MARIJUANA

Before we get into the details of the various policies it is worthwhile to discuss the special case of marijuana, which we also generally include in the category of drugs of abuse. Marijuana is banned under federal law and is listed as a Schedule I controlled substance under the Controlled Substances Act, thus classifying it as a substance which, according to the Act, “has a high potential for abuse” and “has no currently accepted medical use.” Nevertheless, as of February 2017, 29 states have approved marijuana for medical use, and seven states (Alaska, Colorado, Massachusetts, Maine, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington) and the District of Columbia have approved it for recreational use in certain amounts. Moreover, there is a robust debate about what, if any, are the health and social consequences of marijuana use. Thus, the argument exists that marijuana should be treated differently from other “drugs of abuse.”

Some of the leagues have explicitly recognized the uniqueness of marijuana. As will be shown below, the NFL and NBA treat marijuana differently, and the NBA does not classify marijuana as a drug of abuse. Moreover, some believe marijuana use is common among NFL players to help manage pain. Amidst at least some evidence that marijuana can be an effective pain management tool, in 2016, the NFLPA announced that it would study the issue. Nevertheless, the NFL has insisted that the legalization of marijuana in certain states (including those that are home to NFL clubs), does not change the NFL’s position—a policy banning marijuana. However, the NFL has stated that it is willing to consider medically appropriate uses of marijuana, such as for pain management and treatment of concussions, if recommended by medical experts.

3) PURPOSE OF DRUG POLICIES

The case of marijuana raises the question about why certain drugs are banned, under either a PES or drugs of abuse policy. A list of reasons why specific drugs might be banned includes: (1) the drugs are associated with negative health consequences; (2) the drugs are illegal; and/or, (3) the drugs provide the player with an unfair competitive advantage.

Many drugs meet one or more of these criteria. The criteria most closely related with the particular drug generally determine whether the drug is banned under a PES policy...
or a drugs of abuse policy. Drugs that provide an unfair competitive advantage would generally be those classified as a PES while illegal drugs would generally be considered drugs of abuse. Nevertheless, many PES are also illegal (or obtainable only with a prescription) and some illegal drugs of abuse can provide a competitive advantage (such as stimulants). Our analysis is focused on the health consequences of drug use rather than competitive advantage issues.

Finally, the health consequences of many of the drugs are a constant subject of debate and ongoing scientific assessment. Whether the positive purposes and effects of certain drugs sufficiently outweigh the negative consequences is a medical determination and beyond the scope of this Report, but this question does relate to the purpose of therapeutic use exemptions, an issue to which we now turn.

4) THERAPEUTIC USE EXEMPTIONS

Some prohibited substances might be appropriate or necessary for the treatment of specific medical conditions. Consequently, sports leagues generally permit what are known as therapeutic use exemptions (“TUEs”), that permit the player to use a banned substance without violating a drug policy. A good example of a TUE is the case of American Olympic sailor Kevin Hall. As a result of cancer, Hall needed testosterone injections to maintain normal levels of the hormone. Hall received a TUE to take testosterone (normally a banned substance) so that he could participate in the 2004 Olympics.

The availability of TUEs also raises the prospect of players seeking them more for performance-enhancing purposes as opposed to medical. In 2006, MLB began to test for stimulants, a banned substance but one nonetheless long considered to be commonly used in MLB. In 2006, 28 players obtained a TUE for stimulants used to treat attention-deficit disorder (“ADD”), such as Adderall or Ritalin. The next year, 103 players obtained TUEs for ADD drugs, raising concerns that the TUE process was being abused. Nevertheless, the prevalence of TUEs for ADD drugs has remained fairly consistent: 119 in 2013; 112 in 2014; 111 in 2015, and 105 in 2016. Approximately 1,375 players played in a MLB regular season game in 2016. Thus, an estimated 7.6% of them had a TUE for ADD drugs.

5) ROLE OF UNIONS

Each of the leagues’ drug policies is the result of collective bargaining with the leagues’ respective players unions. Indeed, drug testing is generally considered a mandatory subject of bargaining between employers and a union pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act. The union will look to protect the players’ rights and interests in all respects when negotiating the drug policy, but what that means is not always clear.

Players have heterogeneous views concerning the most desirable drug policy. When it comes to drugs of abuse, some players likely do not want any testing out of concerns for their privacy or so that they can engage in drug-related behavior off of the field. Other players might be concerned with the adverse health and legal consequences associated with drugs of abuse and instead want robust testing. Similarly, some players intentionally take PES to try to improve their performance and thus would like to see minimal testing and discipline for their use. Conversely, some players believe that PES undermine the integrity and fairness of the game and should be subject to frequent testing and harsh punishment.

Regardless of the players’ and unions’ balancing of these views, the unions always work to protect a player’s legal and procedural rights. A drug test can be an invasive and personal process. Drug tests are conducted via the collection of either urine or blood. Urine specimen collection requires a player to be naked from his knees up and then urinate into a cup with the specimen collector standing directly in front of him. Blood tests require blood to be withdrawn. Consequently, players and their unions generally seek to minimize the number of times players have to go through these uncomfortable experiences.

Additionally, the unions want to ensure that the drug tests are reliable in that testing procedures accurately determine whether a player has failed a drug test. In the 2011 CBA, the NFL and NFLPA agreed that the NFL would begin...
to test for human growth hormone ("hGH"), with the specifics to be worked out at a later date. Nevertheless, it took nearly three years for the NFL and NFLPA to agree on the procedures of hGH testing due, in part, to concerns about the scientific reliability of the blood tests available at the time.23

Another procedural concern of the unions is “non-analytical positives,” i.e., those situations where the player has not tested positive for a banned substance but has violated a drug policy in some other way, such as by possessing the drug, engaging in illegal conduct, manipulating the test, or being accused of having used a banned substance through the testimony of others. Without clear proof that a player has taken a banned substance (such as through a failed drug test), the unions want to ensure that players are not unfairly punished for circumstantial evidence.

Finally, unions want to ensure that players receive a fair appeals process, typically understood to include the right to challenge the validity of the alleged drug policy violation before a neutral arbitrator. The appeals process and a player’s legal rights thereunder are important issues for players. Nevertheless, they are legally complicated and more tangential to player health than is our focus in this Report. Consequently, we do not discuss them here.

With this background, we turn to our analysis of the leagues’ drug policies. Specifically, for each of the leagues, we will describe: (1) the substances prohibited; (2) the types of tests and prohibited conduct; (3) the number of tests; (4) the administration of the policies; (5) therapeutic use; (6) discipline; (7) treatment; and, (8) confidentiality.

A ) The NFL’s Drug Policies

The NFL has two separate policies: (1) the Policy on Performance-Enhancing Substances (“PES Policy”); and, (2) the Policy and Program on Substances of Abuse (“Substance Abuse Policy”). Both policies were most recently amended in 2016. We will describe both policies for each issue of interest.

1) SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED
a) PES Policy

The PES Policy prohibits specifically listed substances in the following categories: (1) anabolic agents, including anabolic/androgenic steroids, hormones (including hGH), Beta-2-agonists, anti-estrogenic agents, and selective androgen receptor modulators (“SARMs”); (2) masking agents, including diuretics, epitestosterone, and probenecid; and (3) stimulants.24 Doping methods, including enhancement of oxygen transfer, chemical and physical manipulation, and gene doping are also all strictly prohibited.25 The NFL and NFLPA may mutually agree to modify the prohibited substances list included in the PES Policy.26

An important change concerning certain stimulants was made to the PES Policy and Substance Abuse Policy in 2014. Prior to 2014, several players tested positive for banned stimulants during the off-season.29 Stimulants were banned under the PES Policy but provided the players no competitive advantage during the off-season. Instead, the players were using the stimulants as recreational drugs.30 Under the revised PES Policy, if a player tests positive for a...
stimulant during the off-season, he is deemed to have violated the Substance Abuse Policy and not the PES Policy. The change is dramatic in terms of the discipline to be imposed: four games for a first violation of the PES Policy versus no punishment under the Substance Abuse Policy, as will be explained below.

2) TYPES OF TESTS AND PROHIBITED CONDUCT

a) PES Policy

The PES Policy uses urine and blood tests. A test is “positive” under the PES Policy if the test result reveals the presence of a prohibited substance in the player’s sample “at the level required by the testing protocols.” Players are also subject to punishment under the PES Policy for: (1) violations of law (demonstrated by convictions or player admissions) relating to the use, possession, acquisition, sale, or distribution of steroids, growth hormones, stimulants, or related substances, or conspiring to do so; (2) using, possessing, or distributing PES as found through credible evidence; (3) attempting to substitute, dilute, or adulterate a specimen; and, (4) manipulating a test result. While violations of law, non-analytical positives, attempts to substitute, dilute, or adulterate specimens, and test result manipulations are not expressly included in the definition of a “positive test,” they are subject to discipline as will be explained below.

b) Substance Abuse Policy

The Substance Abuse Policy uses urine tests only. For the Substance Abuse Policy, “[t]ests . . . will be deemed positive if they are confirmed by laboratory analysis at the identified urine concentration levels.” A player who fails to cooperate fully in the testing process or who attempts to substitute or adulterate a specimen, alter a test result, or engage in doping methods will be treated as if he produced a positive test. Players who fail to appear for testing are subject to discipline, but not on the same schedule as those whose specimens result in positive tests.

A player also violates the Substance Abuse Policy if he commits a violation of law involving alcohol or drugs of abuse. In addition to a conviction, a violation of law will also be found where the player enters into a diversionary program, deferred adjudication, disposition of supervision, or similar arrangement, including nolo contendere pleas.

3) NUMBER OF TESTS

a) PES Policy

Under the PES Policy, free agent rookies and veterans are subject to pre-employment urine tests, including testing at the NFL Combine. All players are also subject to at least one annual urine test for prohibited substances to occur at training camp or whenever a player reports as part of the player’s pre-season physical. Additionally, ten players per club are randomly selected for urine testing each week during the pre-season, regular season, and postseason. During the off-season, players under contract who are not otherwise subject to reasonable cause testing (discussed below) may be tested at the discretion of the Independent Administrator (subject to a maximum of six combined urine and blood tests).

The PES Policy also allows for blood testing under the following circumstances: (1) 20% of every club’s roster will receive blood testing once annually; (2) each week during the pre-season, regular season, and postseason, five players from eight randomly selected clubs who are selected for urine testing will also receive blood testing; (3) 10% of every club’s roster will receive blood testing in the off-season; and, (4) pre-employment blood tests may be administered to free agent rookies and veterans, including 30 players randomly selected at the Combine who will undergo urine and blood testing. The Independent Administrator randomly selects the players to be tested.

Finally, the PES Policy allows for reasonable cause testing for players who have previously tested positive for PES or for whom there is sufficient credible evidence of prior PES involvement (up to two football seasons prior to the player’s applicable college draft). In no circumstance may a player undergo more than 24 combined urine and/or blood tests per year.

b) Substance Abuse Policy

Under the Substance Abuse Policy, players are subject to testing on the following terms: (1) a rookie or veteran player not under contract may be subject to a pre-employment test if that player was not under contract to his last club on the date of its last game of the preceding season and the player has not had a test in the four-month period prior (excluding an NFL Combine test); (2) draft-eligible players are subject to pre-employment tests during the NFL Combine; (3) all players under contract are subject to one annual test during the off-season; (4) all players
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in the Intervention Program will be required to provide specimens when determined by the Medical Advisor (discussed below in Section 4: Administration); and, (5) a club and player may agree that the player will submit to unannounced testing during the term of his contract if the club has a reasonable basis for such testing.

For players already in the NFL, it is fairly easy not to run afoul of the Substance Abuse Policy. Players are only tested for drugs of abuse during an off-season window that begins in April and ends in August. So long as players do not use drugs of abuse during this time frame (or sufficiently in advance of this time frame), they will not test positive under the Substance Abuse Policy and can otherwise use drugs of abuse without detection or consequences under the Policy during the remainder of the year. Moreover, the NFLPA issues a reminder about the drug testing dates to all players approximately a month before the drug testing window begins. Because players should be able to avoid problems with the Substance Abuse Policy fairly easily, the off-season test is referred to even by NFL medical personnel as “an intelligence test.”

4) ADMINISTRATION

a) PES Policy

The Independent Administrator on Performance-Enhancing Substances, jointly selected by the NFL and the NFLPA, administers the PES Policy. The current Independent Administrator is Dr. John Lombardo, an expert in PES. Subject to limitations set in the PES Policy, the Independent Administrator is vested with the discretion to make determinations concerning, among other things, the method by which players will be subjected to testing each week, the selection of players to be tested each week, the number and frequency of reasonable cause and off-season tests to administer, the scheduling of medical evaluations associated with the use of prohibited substances, review and approval of therapeutic use exemptions, and finding and certifying violations for disciplinary action.

The PES Policy also employs a chief forensic toxicologist jointly selected by the NFL and NFLPA. The toxicologist, among other things, audits the operation of testing laboratories, reviews and certifies lab results, and provides advice to the NFL and NFLPA on anti-doping. The PES Policy also includes a jointly selected collection vendor to implement a training and certification process for all persons involved in the collection of samples under the PES Policy.

b) Substance Abuse Policy

The NFL and NFLPA jointly select a Medical Director, who is responsible for developing and implementing all aspects of the Substance Abuse Policy that relate to the treatment of players. The NFL and NFLPA also jointly select a Medical Advisor, who has the responsibility of serving as medical review officer and overseeing selection and testing under the Substance Abuse Policy’s treatment program, known as the Intervention Program and discussed in detail below. Additional administrators for the Substance Abuse Policy include treating clinicians, team substance abuse physicians, a chief forensic toxicologist, collection vendor(s), and club physicians.

5) THERAPEUTIC USE

Therapeutic use exceptions (“TUEs”) are available for players under both the PES Policy and the Substance Abuse Policy by applying to the Independent Administrator of the PES Policy and the Medical Advisor for the Substance Abuse Policy. “The TUE application should be filled out and submitted by the player’s treating physician and should include all pertinent medical records documenting the diagnosis.” The NFL applies the following guidelines to all TUE requests:

1. The medication must be necessary and indicated for treatment of the specific medical problem for which it has been requested;
2. Acceptable alternative treatments with medications that are not prohibited were attempted but failed, or reasons for not prescribing these alternative treatments have been presented;
3. Appropriate evaluation has been completed and all medical records documenting the diagnosis have been submitted for review; and,
4. The applicant may not begin use of the prohibited substance until after the TUE is granted.

6) TREATMENT

Some of the sports leagues’ drug policies provide for the player to undergo treatment concerning his drug use in lieu of or in addition to punishment. We thus analyze that issue across the leagues, beginning here with the NFL.
a ) PES Policy

The PES Policy does not provide for any treatment. Nevertheless, treatment might be available through the player’s club-funded health insurance policy.

b ) Substance Abuse Policy

According to the Substance Abuse Policy, “[t]he cornerstone of the[ ]Policy is the Intervention Program.”72 “Under the Intervention Program, Players are tested, evaluated, treated, and monitored for substance abuse.”73 The Intervention Program consists of three possible stages of treatment. If the player complies with his treatment and does not fail any tests, he will be discharged from the Intervention Program. However, if the player does not comply or fails drug tests, he will be advanced into more aggressive stages of treatment and subject to increasing discipline.

A player can enter the Intervention Program in three ways: (1) a positive test result; (2) “[b]ehavior (including but not limited to an arrest or conduct related to an alleged misuse of Substances of Abuse occurring up to two (2) football seasons prior to the Player’s applicable scouting combine) which, in the judgment of the Medical Director, exhibits physical, behavioral, or psychological signs or symptoms of misuse of Substances of Abuse”; and, (3) “Self-Referral: Personal notification to the Medical Director by a Player of his desire voluntarily to enter Stage One of the Intervention Program prior to his being notified to provide a specimen leading to a Positive Test Result, and prior to behavior of the type described above becoming known to the Medical Director from a source other than the Player.”74

Once in the Intervention Program, the players are referred to the appropriate clinical professionals to develop a treatment plan for the player.75 The Medical Director must then approve the treatment plan.76 Additionally, once in the Intervention Program, the player is subject to additional testing at the discretion of the Medical Director.77

If a player complies with his treatment plan, he can be discharged from the Intervention Program in as little as 90 days.78 If the Medical Director believes the player needs additional treatment or if the player fails to comply with his treatment plan, such as by failing a test, the player will advance to Stage Two of the Intervention Program.79 In Stage Two, a player can be subject to as many as ten unannounced drug tests per month.80

If a player complies with his treatment plan in Stage Two, he can be discharged from the Intervention Program in as little as 12 months.81 However, again, if the Medical Director believes the player needs additional treatment or if the player fails to comply with his treatment plan, such as by failing a test, the player will advance to Stage Three of the Intervention Program and be subject to additional treatment and evaluation.82

A player’s path through the Intervention Program is detailed further in Figure 4-A on page 143.

7 ) DISCIPLINEg

a ) PES Policy

On the first violation, the PES Policy provides for different punishment based on the type of violation. All violations are treated similarly in the second and third instances, as illustrated in Table 4-A below.83

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Violation</th>
<th>First Violation</th>
<th>Second Violation</th>
<th>Third Violation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violation of Law or Sufficient Credible Evidence84</td>
<td>6 games</td>
<td>10 games</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Test (Diuretic or Masking Agent)</td>
<td>2 games</td>
<td>10 games</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Test (Stimulant or Anabolic Agent)</td>
<td>4 games</td>
<td>10 games</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Test (Prohibited Substance Plus Diuretic, Masking Agent, Attempt to Adulterate, or Attempt to Manipulate)</td>
<td>6 games</td>
<td>10 games</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

g In all of the leagues, suspensions are without pay except in rare circumstances.
In 2016, approximately 19 NFL players were suspended for violating the PES Policy,\textsuperscript{85} an increase from 13 players in 2015, and 16 players in 2014.\textsuperscript{86}

In addition to the fines and suspensions described above, players potentially face contractual consequences for violating the PES Policy. When a player has violated the PES Policy, his club is entitled to proportional forfeiture of bonuses previously paid.\textsuperscript{87} For example, if a player received a $10 million signing bonus for a five-year contract, and the player then fails a PES test after the second season, the player could be required to return $6 million of the signing bonus to the club.\textsuperscript{88} Similarly, if a player is entitled to have his Paragraph 5 (i.e., base) salary guaranteed in his second season, but fails a PES test between the first and second seasons, the contract might contain a clause permitting the club to void the guarantee in the second season.\textsuperscript{89} Because NFL compensation is generally not guaranteed, these financial punishments can be more harmful to a player than the suspensions listed above.

b) Substance Abuse Policy

Players are not disciplined for initial positive test results under the Substance Abuse Policy. Instead, players are entered into the Intervention Program, discussed above. Provided players comply with their treatment programs under the Intervention Program, they will not be disciplined.

However, players who fail to comply with the Intervention Program are subject to increasing levels of discipline. Figure 4-A on the next page demonstrates a player’s potential path and discipline through the Intervention Program.
Figure 4-A: A Player's Path through the NFL's Intervention Program
Additionally, a player who voluntarily enters the Intervention Program cannot be disciplined so long as he complies with his treatment plan.\textsuperscript{90} In reality, this safe harbor provision is limited by the general tenuousness of NFL players’ contracts and careers, which are often terminated for a variety of reasons. Because NFL players will look to avoid anything that sheds negative light on them—including voluntarily entering the Intervention Program—it is questionable how often players ever take advantage of the Substance Abuse Policy’s safe harbor provision.

In 2016, approximately 26 players were suspended for violating the Substance Abuse Policy,\textsuperscript{91} a decrease from 29 players in 2015, but more than the 23 players suspended in 2014.\textsuperscript{92} Many more players were likely fined, but these statistics are not publicly available pursuant to the Policy’s confidentiality provisions, discussed next.

Players are also subject to discipline for violations of law involving alcohol or drugs of abuse.

A player’s first offense involving alcohol will generally result in a two-game suspension.\textsuperscript{93} If there are “aggravating circumstances, including but not limited to felonious conduct, extreme intoxication (BAC of .15% or more), property damage or serious injury or death to the Player or a third party, and/or if the Player has had prior drug or alcohol-related misconduct, increased discipline may be imposed.”\textsuperscript{94} A second offense involving alcohol results in an eight-game suspension.\textsuperscript{95}

A player’s first offense involving drugs of abuse will generally result in a four-game suspension.\textsuperscript{96} Discipline may be increased if there are aggravating circumstances similar to those discussed above.\textsuperscript{97} A second offense involving drugs of abuse results in a six- to ten-game suspension.\textsuperscript{98}

Finally, players who violate the Substance Abuse Policy are subject to the same potential contractual penalties as those discussed above arising out of violations of the PES Policy.

8) CONFIDENTIALITY

Both the PES Policy and Substance Abuse Policy mandate that players, clubs, the NFL, NFLPA, player agents, and all persons involved in administering the polices protect the confidentiality of matters covered by the policies.\textsuperscript{99}

a) PES Policy

Under the PES Policy, “public disclosure, directly or indirectly, of information concerning positive tests, appeals or other violations of th[e] [PES] Policy is not permitted.”\textsuperscript{100} The PES Policy allows the NFL to publicly announce or acknowledge disciplinary action against a player when a suspension is upheld by an arbitrator and to publicly disclose information relating to the discipline of a player to correct inaccurate public claims made by the player or his representatives.\textsuperscript{101} Finally, under the PES Policy, a player, club, or club employee is subject to a $500,000 fine for breaching the confidentiality provisions.\textsuperscript{102}

b) Substance Abuse Policy

The Substance Abuse Policy requires confidentiality relating “to the history, diagnosis, treatment, prognosis, test results, or the fact of participation in the Intervention Program of any Player[.]”\textsuperscript{103} The Substance Abuse Policy allows for disclosure under the same circumstances as the PES Policy and additionally allows for players to authorize or disclose information and allows the Medical Advisor or NFL to disclose to a club information about a player who the club is contemplating acquiring.\textsuperscript{104} Finally, like the PES Policy, under the Substance Abuse Policy, a player, club, or club employee is subject to a $500,000 fine for breaching the confidentiality provisions.\textsuperscript{105}

With this understanding of the NFL policies we are ready to compare them to what is in place for the other leagues.
MLB and the MLBPA have agreed to the Joint Drug Prevention and Treatment Program (“Joint Program”). The Joint Program, last amended in December 2016, covers both PES and drugs of abuse. Where it is helpful, we will discuss the Joint Program’s treatment of the different drugs separately.

1) SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED

The Joint Program prohibits the use, possession, sale, or distribution of four categories of substances: drugs of abuse, PES, stimulants, and Dehydroepiandrosterone (“DHEA”). During the term of the Joint Program, MLB and the MLBPA may jointly agree to ban any additional substances. Additionally, if the federal government adds a substance to Schedule I, II, or III of the Code of Federal Regulations Schedule of Controlled Substances, then that substance is automatically added to the list of prohibited substances as a drug of abuse, PES, or stimulant.

However, MLB does not test for stimulants during the off-season.

2) TYPES OF TESTS AND PROHIBITED CONDUCT

Like the NFL, MLB uses urine tests for PES, stimulants, and DHEA, and blood tests for hGH.

“[I]f any substance identified in the test results meets the levels set forth in the Collection Procedures and Testing Protocols of the Program,” then that test shall be considered “positive.” Additionally, if a player refuses or, without good cause, fails to take a test, or engages in activity to prevent the collection of a specimen, then the player will be deemed to have failed a test. Likewise, a test will be considered positive if a player “attempts to substitute, dilute, mask or adulterate a specimen or in any other manner alter a test.” Players may also be subject to disciplinary action, under a just cause standard, for “any . . . violation of [the Joint Program’s prohibitions], including, but not limited to, non-analytical positives.”

3) NUMBER OF TESTS

a) PES

Each player is tested for PES, stimulants, and DHEA upon reporting to spring training and receives at least one other unannounced test on a randomly selected date between the start of spring training and the final day of the postseason. In total, 4,800 unannounced tests for PES and stimulants are administered to randomly selected players during the season and 1,550 unannounced, random tests for only PES and DHEA are administered during the off-season, in order to ensure that all players are subject to at least one random off-season test. Each player is also randomly tested for hGH once during spring training, and 900 random, unannounced blood tests for hGH are performed throughout the year. There is no limit on the number of times an individual player may be tested for hGH.

During the 2016 season, MLB conducted 8,281 drug tests. 6,634 of the tests were urine and 1,647 were blood. There were 15 failed tests — 12 for PES and three for stimulants.

Players are also potentially subject to just cause testing or, in the case of players who violate the Joint Program, additional follow-up testing. If the Commissioner or the MLBPA notifies the other that it has “reasonable cause” to believe that a player has “engaged in the use, possession, sale or distribution of a [PES or stimulant]” in the past year, then the player may be subject to a test within 48 hours of notification. Players who test positive for PES are subject to three unannounced tests in the year after the positive test, and players who test positive for stimulants are subject to six unannounced tests in the year after the positive test.

b) Drugs of Abuse

Unlike in the NFL, MLB players are generally not required to undergo testing for drugs of abuse, unless the Commissioner or MLBPA presents the other with reasonable cause for testing. If a player tests positive for a drug of abuse after undergoing reasonable cause testing, he is referred to the Treatment Board for an initial evaluation after which the Treatment Board may develop a treatment program consisting of some combination of counseling, in- or out-patient treatment, and follow-up testing.
4) **ADMINISTRATION**

The Independent Program Administrator, jointly selected by the MLBPA and MLB, oversees the Joint Program. The Independent Program Administrator administers and audits the Joint Program’s testing regime and develops related educational programs. Additionally, the Treatment Board—consisting of two representatives (a lawyer and a physician) appointed by the MLBPA, two representatives (a lawyer and a physician) appointed by MLB, and a fifth neutral labor arbitrator—supervises the treatment of players who have or are suspected to have used drugs of abuse.

Of note, the Independent Program Administrator is responsible for publicly releasing a report by December 1, of each year that sets forth the number of tests conducted, the number of positive tests that resulted in discipline, the substances involved in the tests that resulted in discipline, the number of non-analytical positives that resulted in discipline, and the number of TUEs issued, broken down by the category of medication.

MLB’s PES report is a commendable exercise in transparency. By disclosing its drug testing efforts and results and subjecting them to public scrutiny, MLB is demonstrating its commitment to the integrity of the game. While we believe the NFL should seriously consider releasing a similar report, such a report has minimal (if any) direct impact on player health and thus it is outside the scope of our concern here.

5) **THERAPEUTIC USE**

As in the NFL, a player with a medical condition that requires treatment by a substance prohibited by the Joint Program can apply to the Independent Program Administrator for a TUE. The Independent Program Administrator determines whether or not to grant the TUE after consultation with members of the Medical Advisory Panel or outside specialists.

6) **TREATMENT**

a) **PES**

As with the NFL, the Joint Program does not provide for treatment in the case of PES use.

b) **Drugs of Abuse**

The Joint Program does provide for treatment in the case of drugs of abuse in a manner substantially similar to that of the NFL. Under the Joint Program, a player begins treatment for drugs of abuse if he has previously failed a drug test (as the result of reasonable cause testing), or “is otherwise found to have used or possessed” a drug of abuse. The player’s treatment program is determined by the medical professionals on the Treatment Board, who may consult with other treating doctors or experts in the field. The treatment program “may include any or all of the following: counseling, impatient treatment, outpatient treatment and follow-up testing.” A player is subject to discipline for failing to cooperate with his treatment plan, as discussed below.

7) **DISCIPLINE**

Punishments for violations of the Joint Program depend on the category of prohibited substance involved, the nature of the offense, and the number of previous violations (if any). The rules are summarized in Table 4-B on the next page:
## Table 4-B: MLB Joint Program Discipline Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Violation</th>
<th>First Violation</th>
<th>Second Violation</th>
<th>Third Violation</th>
<th>Fourth Violation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PES Use</td>
<td>80 games</td>
<td>162 games</td>
<td>Permanent suspension; can apply for reinstatement after 2 years</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES Sale or Distribution</td>
<td>80-100 games</td>
<td>162 games</td>
<td>Permanent suspension; can apply for reinstatement after 2 years</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulant Use</td>
<td>Follow-up testing</td>
<td>50 games</td>
<td>100 games</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulant-related Convictions</td>
<td>25-50 games</td>
<td>50-100 games</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulant Sale or Distribution</td>
<td>60-90 games</td>
<td>2-year suspension</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEA Use</td>
<td>Follow-up testing</td>
<td>25 games</td>
<td>80 games</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEA-related Convictions</td>
<td>25-50 games</td>
<td>50-100 games</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHEA Sale or Distribution</td>
<td>60-90 games</td>
<td>2-year suspension</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to Comply with Treatment Program</td>
<td>15-25 games</td>
<td>25-50 games</td>
<td>50-75 games</td>
<td>At least one year suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs of Abuse Sale or Distribution</td>
<td>60-90 games</td>
<td>2-year suspension</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs of Abuse-related Convictions</td>
<td>25-50 games</td>
<td>50-100 games</td>
<td>Permanent suspension</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DHEA is treated differently than other PES. “DHEA is a hormone that is naturally made by the human body. . . . Athletes and other people use DHEA to increase muscle mass, strength, and energy.”

DHEA-related Convictions might explain its differential treatment. Despite its legality, MLB, the NFL, NBA, NHL, NCAA, and the World Anti-Doping Agency (“WADA”) have all banned the use of DHEA.

It is unclear whether players who voluntarily refer themselves for treatment can be disciplined under the Joint Program. In reviewing a draft of this Report, MLB stated:

---

h WADA, established in 1999, is an international agency funded by sports organizations and governments with its principal focus on eliminating the use of PES in sports. WADA publishes annually a “Prohibited List” that lists prohibited substances. The WADA Code and the Prohibited List are the governing anti-doping documents of all Olympic sports organizations and most sports organizations worldwide.
If a Player comes forward and discloses to the Joint Treatment Board that he has substance use problem, he will be evaluated and prescribed a treatment program. If he remains compliant with his treatment program and the Treatment Board’s recommendations, he will not be subject to discipline under the Joint Drug Program. This process is described in Section 4 of the Joint Drug Program.

Nevertheless, based on our reading of the Joint Program, while Section 4 does describe the evaluation and treatment provided to players, it does not say anything about a player not being subjected to discipline for voluntarily referring himself for treatment.

8) CONFIDENTIALITY

Like in the NFL, information about an MLB player’s test results, testing history, and treatment program are generally confidential. However, there are many exceptions to this rule. First, MLB and the MLBPA may, upon request, provide a Congressional committee with an anonymized summary of tests conducted under the Joint Program. Second, MLB may inform a club that the club’s player has been placed in a treatment program. Third, a club may disclose a player’s treatment history to a potential trade partner; MLB may publicly disclose that a player has been suspended for a specific number of days for violating the Joint Program or for refusing to take or tampering with a test. Fourth, MLB, in announcing the suspension of a player, can reveal the specific substance which caused the violation of the Joint Program. Finally, both MLB and the MLBPA may disclose details of a player’s testing history to correct inaccurate or misleading claims made by that player.

The NBA and NBPA have agreed to the Anti-Drug Program. The Anti-Drug Program, last amended as part of the 2017 CBA, covers both PES and drugs of abuse. Where it is helpful, we will discuss the Anti-Drug Program’s treatment of the different drugs separately.

1) SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED

Prohibited substances in the NBA fall into four categories: (1) drugs of abuse; (2) marijuana and its by-products (including synthetic cannabinoids); (3) steroids, PES, and masking agents (“SPEDs”); and (4) diuretics. Additionally, any steroid or PES that is declared illegal during the term of the CBA is automatically added to the list of prohibited substances, and either the NBA or NBPA can convene a meeting of the Prohibited Substances Committee to request that the Committee add a substance to the list of prohibited substances.

2) TYPES OF TESTS AND PROHIBITED CONDUCT

The NBA’s Anti-Drug program includes both urine and blood testing. Any test under the Anti-Drug program will be considered “positive” for a prohibited substance if: (1) for a test for a drug of abuse or marijuana, the test confirms levels of the prohibited substance meeting or exceeding the levels set forth in the CBA; (2) for a test for a SPED, the test confirms levels of the SPED meeting or exceeding the levels set forth in the CBA; (3) for a test for a diuretic, the test confirms any detectable level of a diuretic; a player refuses to submit to a test or fully cooperate with the testing process; (5) a player fails to submit to a scheduled test without reasonable explanation; or, (6) a player attempts to substitute, dilute, or adulterate a specimen.

Additionally, even if a player has not failed a test, the NBA’s Anti-Drug Program prohibits the “use, possession, or distribution” of prohibited substances.
3) NUMBER OF TESTS

A player is required to undergo random urine testing for prohibited substances at any time, without prior notice, no more than four times during each season and no more than two times during the off-season. Players can also be blood tested a maximum of two times during the season and once in the off-season. The NBA can conduct a maximum of 1,525 total random tests during the season and a maximum of 600 total random tests during the off-season.

Players are also subject to reasonable cause testing. If the NBA or NBPA has reasonable cause to believe that a player is engaged in the use, possession, or distribution of a prohibited substance, then that party may request a conference with the “Independent Expert,” who will determine whether reasonable cause exists to test the player. If reasonable cause exists, the player may be tested up to four times during the subsequent six-week period.

4) ADMINISTRATION

A jointly selected NBA/NBPA Medical Director oversees the NBA’s Anti-Drug Program concerning drugs of abuse and marijuana. A separate Medical Director is responsible for overseeing the SPED portion of the Anti-Drug Program. Additionally, a jointly selected Independent Expert issues authorizations for reasonable cause testing. A five-member Prohibited Substance Committee, consisting of one NBA representative, one NBPA representative, and three jointly selected individuals, makes recommendations to the NBA and NBPA for changes to the list of Prohibited Substances, including laboratory analysis cutoff levels. Finally, the NBA’s Grievance Arbitrator (who handles a variety of potential grievances under the CBA) is responsible for resolving any and all disputes arising under the Anti-Drug program.

5) THERAPEUTIC USE

Although it is not mentioned in the CBA, the NBA’s Anti-Drug program does contain a TUE process. A player can petition the Medical Director of the Anti-Drug program for permission to use a banned substance. The Medical Director determines whether the player is entitled to the TUE based upon the player’s diagnosis and relevant medical information submitted by the player, including but not limited to a validly issued prescription.

6) TREATMENT

Like the NFL, the NBA’s Anti-Drug Program includes a treatment component. The NBA’s Anti-Drug Program has three different treatment programs depending on the substance involved: drugs of abuse (excluding marijuana); marijuana; and, PES. Discipline for the three programs differs and will be discussed in the next Section.

a) Drugs of Abuse Program

The Drugs of Abuse Program is a two-stage program that includes education, treatment, counseling, and additional testing as directed by the Medical Director. Players enter the Drugs of Abuse Program in one of two ways: (1) by testing positive for a drug of abuse as a rookie; or, (2) by voluntarily entering the Drugs of Abuse Program to seek treatment.

b) Marijuana Program

The Marijuana Program also includes education, treatment, counseling, and additional testing as directed by the Medical Director. Players enter the Marijuana Program by: (1) testing positive for marijuana; (2) being found to have used or possessed marijuana by the Grievance Arbitrator; (3) being convicted of the use or possession of marijuana; or, (4) voluntarily entering the Marijuana Program to seek treatment.

c) SPEDs Program

The SPEDs Program (for steroids, performance-enhancing substances, and masking agents), like the Drugs of Abuse Program and Marijuana Program, includes education, treatment, counseling, and additional testing as directed by the SPED Medical Director. Players enter the SPEDs Program by: (1) testing positive for a SPED; or, (2) being found to have used or possessed a SPED by the Grievance Arbitrator. Under the 2011 CBA, players could voluntarily enter the SPEDs Program and avoid discipline, but that option was eliminated in the 2017 CBA.

7) DISCIPLINE

Discipline for a violation of the NBA’s Anti-Drug Program depends on the type of prohibited substance triggering the violation and, for drugs of abuse only, whether a player is a rookie or a veteran.

a) Drugs of Abuse

Table 4-C on the next page outlines the types of discipline for drugs of abuse in the NBA.
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Players who voluntarily enter the Marijuana Program will not be disciplined provided they comply with their treatment.\textsuperscript{212} C ) SPEDs

Table 4-E below explains the discipline meted out for PES use in the NBA.

Table 4-E:
NBA SPEDs Program Discipline Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Suspended for 25 games and required to enter SPEDs Program.\textsuperscript{213}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Suspended for 55 games and required to enter SPEDs Program if not already in.\textsuperscript{214}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>Dismissed and disqualified for at least two years’ subject to reinstatement by agreement of the NBA and NBPA, and required to enter SPEDs Program if not already in.\textsuperscript{215}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Players who voluntarily enter the Drugs of Abuse Program will not be disciplined provided they comply with their treatment.\textsuperscript{206}

In addition to the discipline outlined above, players who are convicted of crimes involving alcohol (including DUI or DWI) or controlled substances that are not prohibited substances are subject to discipline as determined by the Commissioner and will be evaluated by the Medical Director of the Anti-Drug Program, who can mandate counseling.\textsuperscript{207}

\textbf{b) Marijuana}

Table 4-D below outlines the types of discipline for marijuana use in the NBA.

Table 4-D:
NBA Marijuana Program Discipline Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Required to enter Marijuana Program.\textsuperscript{208}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Fined $25,000 and required to enter Marijuana Program if not already in.\textsuperscript{209}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>Suspended for five games and required to enter Marijuana Program if not already in.\textsuperscript{210}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth or more</td>
<td>Suspended for five games longer than immediately preceding suspension and required to enter Marijuana Program if not already in.\textsuperscript{211}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under the 2011 CBA, players who voluntarily entered the SPEDs Program were not disciplined provided they complied with their treatment.\textsuperscript{216} However, the ability to come forward voluntarily without punishment was removed in the 2017 CBA.\textsuperscript{217}

\textbf{8) CONFIDENTIALITY}

Except as “reasonably required” in connection with the suspension or dismissal of a player, the NBA, the clubs, the NBPA, and their affiliates and employees “are prohibited from publicly disclosing information about the diagnosis,
treatment, prognosis, test results, compliance, or the fact of participation of a player in the [Drug] Program.”218 If a player is suspended or disqualified for a violation involving a drug of abuse or marijuana, the NBA may not publicly disclose the prohibited substance involved, but, if the player is suspended for conduct involving a SPED, the particular SPED shall be disclosed.219 The Medical Directors, drug program counselors, the Independent Expert, and Members of the Prohibited Substances Committee are also prohibited from public disclosure of information obtained in their roles.220

2) TYPES OF TESTS AND PROHIBITED CONDUCT

a) PES Program

The PES Program does not detail the type of specimen that a player must submit, leaving the decision to the Program Committee (discussed below in Section 4: Administration). Recent news articles indicate that the PES Program uses urine samples rather than blood testing.224

Under the PES Program, a test is “positive,” if: (1) the test indicates levels of the prohibited substance that exceed the established cutoff levels; (2) the player had an unexcused failure or refusal to take the test; or, (3) the player attempted to substitute, dilute, mask, or adulterate his test specimen.225

While the NHL, like the NFL, employs a “strict liability standard” (i.e., the player will be disciplined regardless of whether he intended to take a banned substance),226 a player has the right to offer an alternative medical explanation for an adverse analytical finding (i.e. a positive test) under the PES Program.227 If, after considering relevant evidence, the Program doctors determine that a valid alternative medical explanation exists, then that alternative medical explanation renders the player’s test result conclusively non-positive and the player is not subject to discipline.228 If the Program doctors do not determine that a valid alternative medical explanation exists, then the doctors must promptly test the player’s “B” sample,229 which was gathered as part of the initial urine specimen collection process. If the “B” sample tests negative, then the player’s test is conclusively non-positive and the player is not subject to discipline.230

b) Substance Abuse Program

The Substance Abuse Program uses urine samples.231 To establish a violation of the Substance Abuse Program, there are threshold levels for initial tests and confirmatory tests for the different substances of abuse.232 However, as will be explained further below, it is important to note that only players who are currently enrolled in the Substance Abuse Program are tested in an identifiable manner. In other words, there is no identifiable random testing.

3) NUMBER OF TESTS

a) PES Program

Similar to the NFL, under the PES Program, each NHL club is subject to one team-wide, no-notice drug test during training camp and one team-wide, no-notice drug test randomly during the regular season.233 In addition,
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“[i]ndividual Players will be randomly selected for no-notice testing during the Regular Season and Playoffs.”

While the Program Committee may only test up to sixty players during the off-season, there is no regular season cap. There is also no limit on the number of times the Program Committee may test an individual player.

The Program Committee may also test players at any time for reasonable cause if it has “information that gives it reasonable cause to believe that a Player has, in the previous 12-month period, engaged in the use of a Prohibited Substance.” However, the player has 48 hours after receiving Reasonable Cause Notification to contest the information giving rise to the reasonable cause to an impartial arbitrator.

b) Substance Abuse Program

Unlike the NFL, where all players under contract are subject to an identifiable drug test, the NHL’s Substance Abuse Program does not subject NHL players to random identifiable testing for substances of abuse for disciplinary purposes. Tests conducted under the PES Program do report test results for drugs of abuse but on a de-identified basis. Only “if a positive result shows a dangerously high level for a drug of abuse such that it causes concern for the health or safety of the Player or others,” do the Program Doctors have the right to discover the identity of the player and refer the player for an evaluation under the Substance Abuse Program. In response to concerns about cocaine use by NHL players, during the 2015 season it was announced that all tests conducted under the PES Program would include a test for the drug. Previously, only one-third of PES Program drug tests tested for drugs of abuse.

Players may also voluntarily enter the Substance Abuse Program. In fact, voluntarily entering the program is the principal method by which players begin treatment. It is also possible that players can be required to undergo a test for a substance of abuse if the NHL or club has reasonable cause to believe the player has used a drug of abuse, similar to the provision contained in the PES Policy. The Substance Abuse Program doctors are authorized to require that players in the Substance Abuse Program “undergo periodic substance testing at a frequency and on a schedule to be determined by the doctors. Such testing may take place both in season and during the off-season.”

4) ADMINISTRATION

a) PES Program

The Program Committee, comprised of an equal number of NHL and NHLPA representatives and a consulting expert doctor from each side, administers the PES Program. The Program Committee is responsible for, among other things, developing an educational program, overseeing the administration of PES testing, recommending to the NHL and NHLPA which PES from the WADA Prohibited List they should include on the Prohibited Substances List, supervising player evaluation and treatment following positive tests, and administering the TUE process.

b) Substance Abuse Program

“[Q]ualified doctors selected by the League and the NHLPA” administer the Substance Abuse and Program. The Substance Abuse Program doctors’ responsibilities include the development of an educational program, establishment of a multinational counseling network, development of standardized medical assessment tools for substance abuse problems, making decisions concerning treatment and follow-up care, and ensuring compliance with the program.

5) THERAPEUTIC USE

a) PES Program

A player may apply to the PES Program Committee for a TUE with respect to a particular prohibited substance. The Program Committee shall review, consider and act upon such Player’s application expeditiously and approval of the application shall not be unreasonably withheld.

b) Substance Abuse Program

There is no TUE provision in the Substance Abuse Program.

6) TREATMENT

a) PES Program

Players who violate the PES Program are referred to the Substance Abuse Program for evaluation and possible treatment. In contrast, the NFL’s PES Policy does not refer violators to its Intervention Program for treatment.

n The NHL is the only of the four major American sports leagues to use the WADA Prohibited List in choosing its own prohibited substances.
b) Substance Abuse Program

Similar to the NFL’s Intervention Program, the Substance Abuse Program is principally a “program of education, counseling, inpatient and outpatient treatment, follow-up care, and, where appropriate, sanctions.”251 Players who enter the Substance Abuse Program are given an initial evaluation and then a treatment plan as chosen by the Program Doctors.252

Table 4-F below explains the types of discipline for PES use by the NHL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Suspended for 20 games and referred to Substance Abuse Program.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Suspended for 60 games and referred to Substance Abuse Program.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>Player permanently suspended, although player can apply for reinstatement after 2 years.255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, a player who voluntarily enters the Substance Abuse Program cannot be disciplined provided he complies with his treatment.261

Outside the scope of the Substance Abuse Program, players might still be subject to discipline if they have violated the law concerning alcohol or a drug of abuse. Indeed, the Substance Abuse Program states that players may be subject to other discipline outside of the Substance Abuse Program.262 The NHL CBA provides the Commissioner wide discretion to impose discipline for off-ice conduct, including fines, suspensions, and cancelling a player’s contract.263 Any discipline imposed is subject to appeal before a neutral arbitrator.264

8) CONFIDENTIALITY

a) PES Program

Test results under the PES Program are confidential except that: (1) once a positive test has been confirmed by the impartial arbitrator (or if no grievance has been filed), the player suspended will be identified and the fact of and length of his suspension under the Program will be announced; and, (2) if a player is subject to a transaction that results in a change to his status (e.g., a trade) and that transaction was completed between the date on which the player tested positive and the date upon which he received his suspension, a club alleging that it was adversely affected by the player’s nondisclosure of his positive test may file a grievance.265

---

o Basketball and soccer are also Olympic sports and thus performance-enhancing drug usage in those sports might also be lowered as a result of the Olympic drug-testing programs.
b) Substance Abuse Program

The assessment of alcohol and drug problems under the Substance Abuse Program shall be conducted “with the assurance of confidentiality.” The Substance Abuse Program recognizes that “records which contain information pertaining to the diagnosis or treatment of psychiatric, alcohol- or drug-related disorders are subject to strict confidentiality” and therefore requires the player-patient’s prior written authorization for disclosure. The Substance Abuse program doctors are responsible for “[a]ppropriate maintenance of confidentiality of Player records.”

E) The CFL’s Drug Policies

The CFL-CFLPA Policy to Prevent the Use of Performance Enhancing Drugs (“PED Policy”) was most recently amended in April 2016.

The CFL does not have a policy concerning drugs of abuse and the CFL CBA specifically declares that “there shall be no drug testing conducted in relation to any player in the C.F.L. except as provided for in the [PED Policy].” However, the CFL CBA states that the CFLPA and the CFL Player Relations Committee (“CFLPRC”) “shall continue with a Committee which shall have the mandate of studying and gathering information with respect to drug abuse related to both illegal and performance enhancing drugs.”

1) SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED

The PED Policy prohibits PES, stimulants, and masking agents.

2) TYPES OF TESTS AND PROHIBITED CONDUCT

Like the NFL’s PES Policy, the CFL’s PED Policy authorizes the collection of blood and urine samples.

According to the PED Policy, “[e]xcepting those drugs for which a quantitative reporting threshold is specifically identified in the Prohibited List, the detected presence of any quantity of a Performance Enhancing Drug, its Metabolites or Markers in a Player’s sample shall result in an Adverse Analytical Finding.” In addition to adverse analytical findings, players are subject to discipline for refusing to comply with the testing provisions, tampering or attempting to tamper with the sample collection process, administering or attempting to administer (or assisting with, encouraging, or covering up the administration of) a PES to any other player, and conviction in Canada of a criminal offense for possession or trafficking of a PES on the CFL Prohibited List.

3) NUMBER OF TESTS

Beginning with the 2016 season, all players are tested under the PED Policy. In addition, players are subject to targeted testing if: (1) “the laboratory has recommended follow-up testing based on their analytical investigation;” (2) “the Player is presently undergoing counseling and as a condition of their counseling, they are subject to further testing;” or, (3) “the Player has been granted a retroactive exemption” for previously refusing to submit to a drug test. Finally, if a player has previously committed a violation of the PED Policy, then the player will be subject to mandatory testing for a two-year period following the violation, up to a maximum of eight drug tests.

The CFL has the authority to reduce testing frequency, at any time and in its sole discretion. All testing is done randomly, with no advance notice, and may occur at any time during the calendar year.

4) ADMINISTRATION

The CFL and CFLPA are jointly responsible for administering the PED Policy, but the PED Policy does not elaborate on the specifics of that administration.

5) THERAPEUTIC USE

The Designated Medical Authority, a doctor jointly appointed by the CFL and CFLPA for the purpose of reviewing TUE applications, may grant a TUE to a player if: (2) the player could experience a significant health impairment if the substance “were to be withheld in the course of treating an acute or chronic medical condition”; (3) the use of the substance would “produce no additional achievement or performance other than that which might be anticipated by a return to a state of normal health”; and, (3) there is not a “reasonable therapeutic alternative” to using the prohibited substance.
6) TREATMENT

The CFL’s PED Policy makes treatment available to the players. “If a Player is suspended by the CFL pursuant to the terms of [the PED] Policy, such Player must participate in an assessment and clinical evaluation, to determine whether a counselling program would be recommended . . . The program would be tailored to meet the specific needs of the Player and may include, but is not limited to, the following: (a) counselling from medical personnel or substance abuse experts; (b) remedial education that provides various information including alternatives to the use of performance enhancing substances; and (c) community service, including speaking to other Players or members of the public about the dangers of using Performance Enhancing Drugs in sport.” Nevertheless, “it is at the sole discretion of the player” whether he receives treatment.

In contrast, the NFL makes treatment available as part of its Substance Abuse Policy but not its PES Policy.

7) DISCIPLINE

Table 4-H below explains the types of discipline for PES use by the CFL.

Table 4-H: CFL PED Policy Discipline Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Violation</th>
<th>Discipline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>Suspended for two games, must complete educational course, undergo a clinical evaluation, and subject to additional drug testing for two years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td>Suspended for nine games, must complete educational course, undergo a clinical evaluation, and subject to additional drug testing for two years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td>Suspended for one year, must complete educational course, undergo a clinical evaluation, and subject to additional drug testing for two years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth</td>
<td>Suspended for life.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A player who voluntarily admits a problem using PES is not subject to discipline and will undergo a clinical evaluation. In contrast, the NFL’s PES Policy does not offer self-referrals a safe harbor from discipline.

8) CONFIDENTIALITY

The CFL, CFLPA, CFL Safety Committee, Sample Collection Authority, and the Substance Abuse Counseling Organization may not share a player’s medical information. However, the permitted disclosure of information about a player’s failed drug test is much broader. As of 2016, the PED Policy dictates that “the CFL and CFLPA shall disclose the name of every Player who violates the [PED] Policy including disclosure of the summary details of the applicable violation (substance detected, sanction imposed, reasons for a sanction reduction, etc.) but only after all appeals available to the Player under the [PED] Policy have been exhausted.” Additionally, the PED Policy also authorizes “the CFL Commissioner and the President of the CFLPA, or their respective designees, . . . to speak publicly and disclose any information about a Player that has violated the [PED] Policy.”
F) MLS’ Drug Policies

MLS’ Substance Abuse and Behavioral Health Program and Policy (“Substance Abuse Policy”) covers both PES and drugs of abuse. The Substance Abuse Policy was most recently amended as part of the 2015 CBA.

1) SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED

MLS’ Substance Abuse Policy regulates four types of substances.

First, the Substance Abuse Policy “prohibits the use or possession of any controlled substance without a prescription issued by a physician licensed to practice medicine.” The Substance Abuse Policy also declares that “[p]rescription drugs, even if properly prescribed, may also be prohibited if such drug is not being used for an approved medical reason.”

Second, the Substance Abuse Policy prohibits the use of “street drugs,” including, “without limitation, (1) amphetamines, (2) barbiturates, (3) benzodiazepines, (4) cocaine, (5) marijuana, (6) methadone, (7) methaqualone, (8) opiates, (9) phenylcyclidine (PCP), (10) propoxyphene, (11) ecstasy, and (12) club drugs including GHB and their analogs.”

Third, while MLS “recognizes that alcohol is a legal substance,” the Substance Abuse Policy prohibits “the use of alcohol on work premises [unless as part of a Club or League function] or reporting to work under the influence of alcohol or otherwise being affected at work by the consumption of alcohol.”

Fourth, the Substance Abuse Policy adopts the WADA Prohibited List for its list of prohibited PES.

2) TYPES OF TESTS AND PROHIBITED CONDUCT

MLS’ Substance Abuse Policy only provides for urine testing.

A player violates the Substance Abuse Policy, in relevant part, “(i) through receipt of a [failed test], (ii) use or possession of any controlled substance without a prescription, (iii) abuse of a prescription drug, (iv) use of alcohol on work premises or reporting to work under the influence of alcohol or otherwise being affected at work by the consumption of alcohol, (iv) use or possession of [street drugs], [or] (v) use or possession of [PES].” An adulterated or substituted drug test” is treated as a positive test. Additionally, a refusal to submit to a drug test is also considered a positive test.

3) NUMBER OF TESTS

The Substance Abuse Policy dictates that “[a]ll Players are subject to unannounced . . . testing” for all prohibited substances. The Substance Abuse Policy does not set a limit on the number of times a player can be tested.

4) ADMINISTRATION

The Substance Abuse Policy is “administered by qualified doctors, in association with a certified substance abuse and behavioral health counselor (‘Program Professionals’).” The Program Professionals are “selected jointly by the [MLS] Commissioner or his designee and the MLS Players Union.” The Program Professionals are responsible for:

1. Developing an educational program on substance abuse and behavioral health problems to be presented at least once each year to Players;
2. Overseeing Prohibited Substance and alcohol testing;
3. Establishing a comprehensive multi-national counseling network to include a 24-hour toll-free number and a network of designated counseling professionals in each MLS city;
4. Implementing a standardized medical and/or psychological assessment used to evaluate Players who have violated the [Substance Abuse] Policy or who self-refer to the [Substance Abuse] Program;
5. Making decisions concerning treatment and aftercare, and ensuring compliance with those treatment programs. The [Substance Abuse] Program Professionals shall determine all substance abuse and behavioral health treatments of Players; and,
6. Selecting and evaluating laboratory, treatment, and aftercare facilities.
5) THERAPEUTIC USE

MLS’ Substance Abuse Policy does not provide any exceptions for therapeutic use.

6) TREATMENT

MLS’ Substance Abuse Policy provides the possibility of treatment for any violation of the Substance Abuse Policy, including PES. A player is required to undergo an evaluation for possible treatment if the player: (1) refers himself for treatment; (2) has violated the Substance Abuse Policy; or, (3) is recommended to be evaluated by a league or club official who has “reasonable belief” that the player has violated the Substance Abuse Policy. The Program Professionals will make a determination as to whether or not the player should enter treatment.

Treatment can consist of counseling, outpatient treatment, in-residence treatment at a designated facility, and necessary aftercare. Players must follow the treatment determinations made by the Program Professionals. Also, the costs of treatment are covered by MLS.

7) DISCIPLINE

Like the NFL, MLS’ Substance Abuse Policy contains a multi-stage treatment program in which the discipline is determined by the player’s level of compliance with his treatment. Importantly, this multi-stage treatment process applies only to drugs of abuse, not PES. Figure 4-B on the next page shows an MLS player’s path through the MLS Substance Abuse Policy Program. As compared to the NFL’s Intervention Program, the level of discipline to be imposed by the MLS Substance Abuse Policy is far less clear. A player cannot be disciplined for voluntarily referring himself to the Program. A player who fails a test for the first time is generally not disciplined for a first offense and is placed in Stage 1 of the Program. However, upon the recommendation of the Program Professionals, a player can be placed in Stage 2 based on an initial failed test and players in Stage 2 are suspended without pay during treatment and are only reinstated at the Commissioner’s discretion. Generally, speaking, the MLS Substance Abuse Policy prescribes no specific punishments, leaving discipline to the discretion of the MLS Commissioner, with the consultation of the Program Professionals. Moreover, the Substance Abuse Policy does not explicitly describe how players can exit the Program, which presumably occurs if they comply with treatment.
Figure 4-B: A Player’s Path through MLS’ Substance Abuse Policy Program

How did you enter the program?

- Voluntarily
- Failed test (non-PED)

What type of treatment?

- In-Residence
- Evaluation by Program Professionals

Did you comply with treatment?

- No penalty
- Fine or Suspension at Commissioner’s Discretion

STAGE 1

Exit Program

- YES
- NO

STAGE 2

Exit Program

- YES
- NO

STAGE 3

Minimum 6 month suspension

Exit Program

- YES
- NO
Finally, if a player violates the Substance Abuse Policy via the use or possession of PES, his discipline is determined in the “sole and absolute discretion” of the league, “including, without limitation, fines, suspension (with or without pay), and/or termination of the Player’s [contract].”

MLS is also the only league that does not provide its players with the possibility of challenging a violation of the Substance Abuse Policy through a neutral arbitration process. Instead, players can submit their challenge to a positive test in writing to the Program Professionals who then have the “absolute and sole” discretion to adjudicate the player’s complaint.

8) CONFIDENTIALITY

MLS’ Substance Abuse Policy provides limited confidentiality protections for players. A player’s participation in the treatment phase of the Program is only kept confidential if he is not yet in Stage 1 of the Program, which can only occur through a self-referral. If a player is in treatment, MLS “may notify the Player’s team of [the player’s status and progress] as deemed reasonably necessary.” If a player has been suspended or terminated for a violation of the Substance Abuse Policy that did not involve PES, MLS may disclose “only that a Player has been suspended or terminated pursuant” to the Substance Abuse Policy. “If a player is suspended or terminated for use or possession of a [PES], MLS may disclose such information as it deems necessary/appropriate.”
The following tables summarize and compare the features of the leagues’ drug policies.

### Table 4-I:
Comparison of Leagues’ PES Policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>NFL</th>
<th>MLB</th>
<th>NBA</th>
<th>NHL</th>
<th>CFL</th>
<th>MLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent administration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine tests permitted</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood tests permitted</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of annual tests for player without prior violation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Nine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic Use Exemptions available</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment available</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe harbor for self-referrals</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for first violation</td>
<td>Two–Six games</td>
<td>80 games</td>
<td>25 games</td>
<td>20 games</td>
<td>Two games</td>
<td>League discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for second violation</td>
<td>Ten games</td>
<td>162 games</td>
<td>55 games</td>
<td>60 games</td>
<td>Nine games</td>
<td>League discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for third violation</td>
<td>Two years</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>Two years (subject to reinstatement)</td>
<td>Two years</td>
<td>One year</td>
<td>League discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for fourth violation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Life</td>
<td>League discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidential violations</td>
<td>Until discipline</td>
<td>Until discipline</td>
<td>Until discipline</td>
<td>Until discipline</td>
<td>Until discipline</td>
<td>Until discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral appeal rights</td>
<td>In part</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4-J: Comparison of Leagues' Drugs of Abuse Policies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>NFL</th>
<th>MLB</th>
<th>NBA</th>
<th>NHL</th>
<th>CFL</th>
<th>MLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independent administration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine tests permitted</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood tests permitted</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of annual tests for player without prior violation</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>No tests</td>
<td>Six</td>
<td>No tests</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>No maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic Use Exemptions available</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment available</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe harbor for self-referrals</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for first violation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No (Marijuana); One year for rookies only or two years (other drugs)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>Determined by Program Professionals evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for second violation</td>
<td>Fine (Marijuana); Four games (other drugs)</td>
<td>15–25 games</td>
<td>$25,000 fine (Marijuana); 2 years for rookies or self-referrals (other drugs)</td>
<td>Suspended during treatment</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>League discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for third violation</td>
<td>4–6 games</td>
<td>50–75 games</td>
<td>Five games (Marijuana)</td>
<td>Minimum of six months</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>League discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discipline for fourth violation</td>
<td>10 games (Marijuana); One year (other drugs)</td>
<td>At least One year</td>
<td>Ten games (Marijuana)</td>
<td>Minimum of one year</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>League discretion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidential violations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>Until discipline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral appeal rights</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No Policy</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the possible exception of how marijuana is regulated, the Big Four’s drug policies do not vary substantially. Before delving into specific issues of analysis, we note that the leagues and unions balance multiple factors in creating drug policies, including but not limited to deterrence, treatment, privacy, and integrity of the game. These policy considerations and value judgments are debatable in many spheres of the world, not just sports. To be sure, many aspects of these policies impact player health. The three features of the policies we view as most important and those which we focus on are: (1) the availability of TUEs; (2) the availability of treatment; and, (3) the opportunity to receive treatment without being subject to initial discipline. With these issues in mind, we turn to our analysis of how the NFL compares to the other leagues.
Concerning TUEs, the NFL, MLB and the NBA all offer TUEs for both their PES and drugs of abuse policies. In contrast, the CFL offers TUEs for its PES policy but does not have a drugs of abuse policy. We also found no evidence that the NHL offers a TUE for its Substance Abuse Program or that MLS offers any TUEs. Thus, the NFL’s use of TUEs is at least as good as the other leagues.

All of the leagues, including the NFL, have robust treatment programs for drugs of abuse. However, the NBA, CFL, and potentially MLS are the only leagues that offer treatment for a player who has violated a PES Policy. On this issue, it might appear that the NFL can learn compared to the NBA and CFL. However, there are other relevant considerations concerning the treatment programs offered to players, discussed next.

The NFL, NBA, NHL, MLS and maybe MLB provide a safe-harbor for players who voluntarily refer themselves for treatment for drugs of abuse. These provisions importantly allow players to seek help they might recognize they need without the fear of immediate adverse employment action.

In contrast, no Big Four league offers a safe-harbor for players who have used PES. Under its prior CBA, NBA players did have a safe-harbor for PES use, but that option was eliminated in the 2017 CBA. The NBA does still, however, provide treatment for PES use. The leagues that do not offer safe-harbor provisions for PES use may not offer such safe harbors because they believe that there are important differences between players who take PES and those who take drugs of abuse—we can only speculate because they have not publicly explained this policy difference. It is possible that these leagues view PES users as players intentionally looking to cheat the game and their competitors, whereas those using drugs of abuse need medical care.

However, there is robust scientific evidence supporting the need to provide treatment to PES users. PES usage has shown to be addictive, and has been associated with the use of drugs of abuse (opioids in particular), body dysmorphic disorder, depression, antisocial traits, mood and personality disorders, other psychological disorders, and cognitive deficits in impulsivity, risk-taking, and decision-making. As a result, PES users may experience withdrawal symptoms, and may be at an increased risk of suicide. Consequently, many experts recommend and provide treatment and counseling for PES users.
Recommendation 4-A: The NFL should consider amending the PES Policy to provide treatment to any NFL player found to have violated the PES Policy.

The NFL and the other leagues recognize that substance abuse is a serious medical issue and, as a result, provide players with robust counseling and treatment. As discussed above, PES usage has been shown to be associated with a variety of serious physical and mental ailments. However, only the NBA and CFL offer treatment for players who have used PES. In light of the potential negative health consequences associated with PES usage and the treatment provided by the NBA and CFL for PES usage, it seems prudent for the NFL to consider providing treatment to PES users similar to that provided for by the Substance Abuse Policy’s Intervention Program.

There is an important clarification to this Recommendation. As stated earlier in this Chapter, we are not focused on the competitive advantage concerns associated with PES use or the discipline imposed by the leagues for drug or PES usage. We are focused on the health implications of drug and PES policies. Thus, our Recommendation should not be read to suggest that because players might need treatment for PES usage that they should not be disciplined—as is the case for first time offenders of the Substance Abuse Policy.

As discussed in the Introduction, the NFL declined to review this Report. However, MLB did provide comments on the Report which may provide insight into the viewpoints of the other professional leagues. MLB did not agree with this Recommendation, stating:

There are no established treatment programs for PEDs, and since the recidivism rate for PEDs is fairly low, there is no support for the position that this class of prohibited substances warrants a response based on treatment. It is also an established practice of not just MLB, but all other professional leagues and international anti-doping organizations that the use of PEDs affects the integrity of play and should be responded with a disciplinary perspective as opposed to a clinical one. Our experts advise not including “PED treatment programs” as a recommendation in the report.

As a preliminary matter, we note that the NBA and CFL do provide treatment to PES users. Thus, there is a disagreement among the leagues (and potentially also the unions) on this issue, suggesting further research is needed.

We further reply to MLB with a clarification and with a disagreement. We understand sports organizations’ need to discipline players who have violated PES policies. Our recommendation does not seek the elimination or reduction of discipline for PES violations in any way. Instead, we believe it is appropriate to consider providing players who have violated the PES Policy with counseling, regardless of any discipline imposed. This is where we and MLB disagree.

MLB rejects counseling for PES use on the grounds that “[t]here are no established treatment programs for PEDs.” As discussed above, experts in the field recommend and do provide treatment for PES usage and its associated problems. Whether these programs are sufficiently “established,” is beyond our expertise, but it nonetheless is an issue worth further consideration.
An exact definition for PES or PEDs is elusive. See Michael T. Lardon, Performance-Enhancing Drugs: Where Should the Line Be Drawn and by Whom? (2008) (discussing the difficulty with determining what substances should be considered PEDs and thus banned). The United States Anti-Doping Agency describes PEDs as substances that “have the ability or potential to drastically alter the human body and biological functions, including the ability to considerably improve athletic performance in certain instances . . . [but that] can be extremely dangerous and, in certain situations, deadly.” Effects of PEDs, U.S. Anti-Doping Agency, http://www.usada.org/substances/effects-of-performance-enhancing-drugs/, archived at http://perma.cc/CM2U-SS9K.
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