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Abstract (Word Count = 302)

American-style football (ASF) players experience repetitive head impacts which may result in chronic traumatic encephalopathy neuropathologic change (CTE-NC). At present, a definitive diagnosis of CTE-NC requires the identification of localized hyperphosphorylated Tau (p-Tau) after death via immunohistochemistry. Some studies suggest that positron emission tomography (PET) with the radiotracer ($^{18}$F)-Flortaucipir (FTP) may be capable of detecting p-Tau and thus establishing a diagnosis of CTE-NC among living former ASF players. To assess associations between FTP, football exposure, and objective neuropsychological measures among former professional ASF players, we conducted a study that compared former professional ASF players to age-matched male control participants without repetitive head impact exposure. Former ASF players and male controls underwent structural magnetic resonance imaging and PET using FTP for p-Tau and $[^{11}$C]-PiB for amyloid-β. Former players underwent neuropsychological testing. ASF exposure was quantified as age at first exposure, professional career duration, concussion signs and symptoms burden, and total years of any football play. Neuropsychological testing included measures of memory, executive functioning, and depression symptom severity. P-Tau was quantified as FTP standardized uptake value ratios (SUVR) and $[^{11}$C]-PiB by distribution volume ratios (DVR) using cerebellar grey matter as the reference region. There were no significant differences in $[^{18}$F]-FTP uptake among former ASF players (N=27, age=50±7 years) compared to control participants (N=11, age=55±4 years), nor did any participant have significant amyloid-β burden. Among ASF participants, there were no associations between objective measures of neurocognitive functioning and $[^{18}$F]-FTP uptake. However, there was a marginally significant difference between $[^{18}$F]-FTP uptake isolated to the entorhinal cortex among players in age-, position-, and race-adjusted models (p=0.05) which may represent an area of future investigation. The absence of increased $[^{18}$F]-FTP uptake in brain regions previously implicated in CTE among former professional ASF players compared to controls questions the utility of $[^{18}$F]-FTP PET for clinical evaluation in this population.
INTRODUCTION

Repetitive head impacts (RHI) may increase the risk of adverse long-term cognitive outcomes.\(^1\) The proposed primary pathology underlying this association is chronic traumatic encephalopathy neuropathologic change (CTE-NC), which is characterized by the presence and localization of cortical perivascular hyperphosphorylated Tau (p-Tau).\(^2\) Recently, clinical criteria have been proposed for the antemortem diagnosis of CTE-NC but at present, a definitive diagnosis of CTE-NC can only be established post-mortem using immunohistochemical brain examination.\(^3\) Development of therapeutic strategies to treat people with CTE necessitate accurate tools for antemortem diagnosis. Accordingly, there has been considerable interest in developing tools to diagnose CTE-NC among living people.\(^4\) Positron emission tomography (PET) utilizing radiotracers designed to have selective high binding affinity for p-Tau in Alzheimer’s disease \(^5\) have recently been employed for this purpose.\(^6\)

The proposed association between RHI and CTE-NC has garnered attention among American-style football (ASF) players and their clinicians.\(^3\) PET imaging with the radiotracer \(^{[18}F]\)-Flortaucipir (FTP) has been proposed as a way to detect p-Tau and potentially diagnose CTE-NC among living former ASF players.\(^7,8\) While pre- and post-mortem imaging with FTP has not universally been shown to confirm conventional postmortem CTE-NC diagnosis,\(^9,10\) a recent study reported increased cortical FTP uptake among 26 former professional American-style football (ASF) players with subjective neurocognitive complaints compared to age-matched men.\(^6\) This important finding suggests a clinical rationale for the use of FTP PET among former ASF players and other populations exposed to RHI.\(^6\) A subsequent \textit{in vivo} study on elite contact sport athletes, cognitively-normal controls, mild cognitive impairment patients, and Alzheimer’s disease patients revealed mildly elevated FTP binding for amyloid-negative patients at risk for CTE-NC, suggesting that FTP may not be appropriate for studies on early-stage CTE-NC.\(^11\) To date, these findings have yet to be reconciled.

We therefore conducted the present study with two distinct objectives. First, we sought to validate previous findings\(^6\) in a similar sample of former ASF players using
comparable methodology. Second, we hypothesized that an analytical approach to FTP PET data with more precise regional specificity and using an additional concussion signs and symptoms-based football exposure would provide novel insights into the relationship between ASF exposure and p-Tau deposition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The Football Players Health Study (FPHS) at Harvard University\textsuperscript{12} recruited 31 former ASF players between the ages of 33 and 60 who: 1) contracted with professional ASF leagues after 1960, the year hard plastic helmets were adopted;\textsuperscript{13} 2) completed the FPHS Health and Wellness survey between the ages of 29 and 55 years; and 3) had previously self-reported a diagnosis of cardiometabolic, neurocognitive, chronic pain, and/or sleep disorder or as unafflicted by any of those conditions.\textsuperscript{14} These participants underwent PET scanning on the Discovery MI-5 (GE Healthcare) PET/CT scanner at the Gordon Center for Medical Imaging at Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA) between 2019 and 2020. We examined p-Tau tracer uptake among 27 former professional ASF players after exclusions based on tracer failure, compromised cerebellum data, or lack of corollary MRI data.

A convenience sample of eleven white male controls (age range: 47-60) were recruited from the Framingham Heart Study\textsuperscript{15} cohort to characterize molecular signatures of preclinical and clinical Alzheimer’s disease using PET. These control participants were unexposed to RHI and scanned on the same Discovery MI-5 (GE Healthcare) PET/CT scanner at the Gordon Center for Medical Imaging at Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA) between 2018 and 2020. Informed consent was obtained from all participants and approval was granted by the Human Research Protection Program at Mass General Brigham.

Covariates

Age and race were determined as previously described.\textsuperscript{1} Only players who endorsed either “Black” or “white” were included, due to the limited ASF participation of
other race groups. The FPHS Health and Wellness survey queried age of first football exposure and years of youth, high school, collegiate, and professional play. These were used to categorize four football exposure measures: 1) age of first football exposure, 2) total years of football, 3) total National Football League (NFL) seasons, and 4) self-reported total number of concussion signs and symptoms (concussion signs and symptoms score) accumulated during football play or practice. As described previously\textsuperscript{1,16,17}, the concussion signs and symptoms score was quantified by summing the frequency of each of ten concussion signs and symptoms (included headaches, nausea, dizziness, loss of consciousness, memory problems, disorientation, confusion, seizure, visual problems and feeling unsteady on one’s feet) reported to have occurred after a blow to the head or neck. Total NFL seasons was used as a continuous variable in models adjusting for age, race, and position. Position was divided into defensive back, defensive line, kicker/punter, linebacker, offensive line, quarterback, running back, tight end, wide receiver, and special teams only.

For comparison with the football player sample in Stern et al., we conducted sensitivity analyses only in players with subjective cognitive complaints. Consistent with prior work,\textsuperscript{6} perceived neurocognitive function was measured (Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders [Neuro-QOL\textsuperscript{18}]-Applied Cognition-General Concerns [short form]). Specifically, to create a subsample of football players selected for reporting subjective neurocognitive dysfunction, participants scoring more than one standard deviation below the mean (i.e., T-score <40) were considered to have subjective cognitive dysfunction and they were included in sensitivity analyses. An additional sensitivity analysis was conducted in ASF players over the age of 43 to match ASF participants in the Stern et al. study.

**Neuroimaging Data Acquisition**

* Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) *

All subjects underwent structural MRI procedures for anatomical reference. A 3-dimensional structural T1-weighted multi-echo magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MEMPRAGE) image was acquired using a 3 T Skyra (Siemens Medical Systems) scanner and root-mean squared image calculated for the population of former ASF players.
A 3-dimensional structural T1-weighted turbo field echo (TFE) was acquired using a 3 T Achieva (Philips) scanner for the control population. All MRI scans had a matrix size of 256 × 256 and a slice thickness of 1 mm and 1 mm isotropic voxel dimensions.

**Positron Emission Tomography (PET)**

All subjects underwent PET procedures on the same scanning equipment in the same location. Each participant received Aβ and p-Tau PET imaging measured by C-Pittsburgh Compound B([11C]-PiB) and [18F]-FTP (Avid Radiopharmaceuticals), synthesized and administered onsite. [11C]-PiB imaging was acquired in dynamic mode with an injection of 15mCi (555 MBq) intravenous bolus and [18F]-FTP was measured 75 min after a 10mCi (370 MBq) bolus injection for a duration of 30 min. Prior to each PET scan, a low-dose X-ray CT scan was performed for attenuation correction. Each PET scan used the full width at half maximum (FWHM) spatial resolution, measured at the center of the axial field of view (radial position = 1 cm), was 4.3 mm and 5.1 mm in transverse and axial directions, respectively. PET data were reconstructed using a validated 3D time-of-flight iterative reconstruction algorithm with five iterations and 16 subsets while applying corrections for scatter, attenuation, deadtime, random coincident events, and scanner normalization. Final reconstructed images contained voxel dimensions of 256 × 256 × 89 and voxel sizes of 1.173 × 1.17 × 2.8mm.

**Image processing and analysis**

PET data processing was performed using Matlab software,\textsuperscript{19} based on code and function from SPM12\textsuperscript{20} and FSL\textsuperscript{21} software packages. MRI images were processed and manually edited on Freesurfer (FSv6.0).\textsuperscript{22} All MRI and PET images were registered into the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space as previously described.\textsuperscript{23} The PET images were motion-corrected and rigidly co-registered to the structural MRI image, transformed into the MNI space using a 12-parameter affine transformation followed by nonlinear warping. The transformation matrices were combined and applied inversely on MNI, Harvard–Oxford atlases (available in FSL), and Freesurfer regions to warp the regions of interest (ROIs) into the native PET images for extraction of radioactivity time–activity curves.
PiB and FTP retentions were expressed by distribution volume ratios (DVR) and 80-100 min standardized uptake value ratios (SUVR) respectively with the cerebellar grey matter as reference. Subjects were defined as Aβ positive if the PiB DVR global Aβ burden in a large neocortical aggregate (FreeSurfer-derived “FLR” regions composed of frontal, lateral parietal and temporal, and retrosplenial regions) was ≥1.2. SUVR maps generated for FTP for ASF players and controls are shown in eFigure 1. The ROIs surveyed were bilateral superior frontal, bilateral medial temporal, and left parietal from the MNI and Harvard–Oxford atlases to compare to published findings. We additionally utilized Freesurfer parcellation results to examine more precisely brain regions from the medial temporal cortex known to be involved in AD and CTE-NC, such as the entorhinal cortex, hippocampus, parahippocampus, and amygdala (eTable 1). We calculated correlations between choroid plexus and hippocampal FTP uptake separately in Black and white players to explore evidence of potential off-target neuromelanin FTP binding.

Neuropsychological Testing

In-person neuropsychological tests were selected to approximate testing conducted by Stern et al. including measures of depression (PHQ-9), memory (Neuropsychological Assessment Battery [NAB] List Learning Test Delayed Recall), and executive function (Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Category Fluency Test [D-KEFS]). The PHQ-9 is a 9-item self-report questionnaire designed to assess symptoms of depression experienced during the previous fortnight. Participants rate the frequency of depression-related symptoms and/or behaviors on a 0-3 scale, with higher scores representing greater symptoms, and the cutoff score for screening positively for depression is 10. The D-KEFS Category Fluency Test involves naming as many words that belong to a specific category as possible within one minute (i.e., first names for a specified gender). The number of correct words produced are age-corrected (mean=10, SD=3). The NAB List Learning Test involves three learning trials of a 12-word list, followed by an interference list, and then free recall testing immediately after the interference list and after a 10-15 minute delay. Participants who obtained a low score on a performance validity test (Test of Memory Malingering or TOMM, Trial 1 score ≤ 35) were excluded.
from analyses using the cognitive test scores but imaging data and mood scales for these participants were included.

**Statistical Analysis**

Between group differences were calculated using voxelwise linear regression models of FTP SUVR maps using SPM12 ($P < .005$ (uncorrected for multiple comparisons) and restricted to $\geq 100$ voxel clusters to increase comparability with similar studies. Between group comparisons for region-based analyses was done with Mann–Whitney tests. Spearman age-adjusted partial correlations were used to illustrate relationships between regional FTP SUVRs and total years of football exposure.

We utilized a two-step residual method adjusting for age, race, and position\(^{37}\) to assess associations between SUVR values and football exposures. First, we used demographic and football-relevant variables from the questionnaire administered to 2,265 FPHS participants within the age range of the PET subjects (29 years to 60 years). We extracted the residuals from separate linear regression models of each football exposure predicted by age, race, and football position. We then tested linear models to predict PET SUVR values using the residuals from the first stage model for each exposure. By design, the residuals reflect the variation in each football exposure variable independent of age, race, and position, thus adjusting for age, race, and position. Age- and race-adjusted multivariable linear regression was used to measure associations between SUV R and neurocognitive and mood outcomes (the dependent variables). Exposure analyses were conducted using R Statistical Software.\(^{38}\) All sensitivity analyses on subsets of the former player sample were conducted and analyzed as described previously. Distributions were reported using means and standard deviation measures, and statistical significance was set at $p<.05$.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic, Amyloid-β, and Football-related Participant Characteristics**

Former ASF players ($n=27$) and control participants ($n=11$) were 50±7 and 55±4 years of age, respectively (Table 1). All control participants self-identified as white and 48%
of former ASF players self-identified as Black. No individual in either group met criteria for amyloid-β positivity. Former ASF players started organized football at age 11±4 years and spent 7±4 years playing at the professional level resulting in an average of 23±3 total football years per ASF participant. ASF players reported 34.4±37.2 concussion signs and symptoms accumulated during either play or practice.

**Brain Atlas-Derived FTP Uptake**

Voxelwise linear regression analyses demonstrated no differences in FTP uptake between former ASF players and controls (**Figure 1**). Clusters limited to ≥100 contiguous voxels produced null results. There were effectively no differences in regional tracer uptake between former ASF players and controls in the superior frontal (ASF player SUVR = 0.92±0.06 vs. control SUVR = 0.90±0.09, p = 0.55), left parietal (1.04±0.06 vs. 1.04±0.09, p = 0.78), and medial temporal (1.14±0.08 vs. 1.09±0.10, p = 0.32) brain regions (**Figure 2A**).

In addition, there were no notable associations between total years of football and SUVR values in these brain regions (**Figure 2B**). Among ASF players, adjusting for age, race, and field position, yielded virtually no difference between FTP uptake in each brain region and the age of first football exposure, total years of football exposure, total NFL years, and concussion signs and symptoms score (**Table 2A**).

**FreeSurfer-Derived FTP Uptake**

To further examine regional FTP uptake specificity, we utilized FreeSurfer to measure between-group differences in discrete sections of the medial temporal cortex as this region contained the highest overall uptake in regional atlas-based analyses. FTP uptake among former ASF players was comparable to controls in the entorhinal cortex (former ASF player SUVR = 1.10±0.08 vs. control SUVR = 1.04±0.07, p = 0.10), parahippocampus (1.06±0.06 vs. 1.02±0.09, p = 0.16), hippocampus (1.19±0.12 vs. 1.13±0.11, p = 0.26), and amygdala (1.11±0.08 vs. 1.10±0.10, p = 0.92; **Figure 3**).

The relationships between brain region-specific FTP uptake and professional ASF exposure are shown in **Figure 3**. Among former ASF players after adjustment for age, race, and position, there was a marginally significant association between NFL career duration
and FTP uptake in the entorhinal cortex ($\beta = 0.031; 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.001-0.061, p = 0.05$; Table 2B).

**Neuropsychological Testing Results**

Four participants were excluded from analyses of the cognitive test scores due to obtaining low scores on performance validity testing. There were no notable associations between neuropsychological testing and brain atlas-derived FTP uptake in the three interrogated brain regions (Table 3A). FTP uptake was not associated with objective neurocognitive testing (semantic verbal fluency and list learning memory) or depression symptoms in the three atlas-derived interrogated brain regions or the four FreeSurfer-derived brain regions (Table 3).

**Sensitivity Analyses**

We conducted two sensitivity analyses that selected former ASF players participants to align with a previous study. We examined brain atlas and FreeSurfer-derived FTP uptake among the subset of relatively older former players ($\geq 44$ years). Separately, we selected players with significant self-reported cognitive problems ($n=13$; Neuro-QOL<$40$). We found similar null results with these subsamples as we did in the full analysis (Figures 4 and 5) in that the analyses of the cognitive test scores and the depression measure showed no significant associations with SUVR values in the regions evaluated with brain atlas or FreeSurfer as in the full group (data not shown).

**Additional Analyses**

Correlations between choroid plexus and hippocampal FTP uptake were statistically significant and stronger in Black former ASF players ($R^2=0.42, p = 0.016$) compared to white former ASF players ($R^2=0.26, p = 0.064$), suggesting the possible contribution of race-associated off-target binding of FTP to neuromelanin.

**DISCUSSION**

This study was designed to examine p-Tau deposition among former professional ASF players using FTP PET. We detected essentially no differences between FTP uptake
among former ASF players and age-matched controls using both brain atlas-derived analyses and more precise FreeSurfer ROI evaluation. We saw no relationship between FTP uptake and performance on objective neuropsychological testing in primary or sensitivity analyses. FreeSurfer-derived ROI analyses revealed a marginally significant relationship between duration of NFL career and FTP uptake within the entorhinal cortex after adjustment for age, race, and position, an area in which p-Tau deposition has been associated with cognitive aging and the emergence of neurodegenerative disease. Results across all analyses were similar when we limited the player cohort to older players, and separately to players with subjective cognitive complaints.

CTE-NC is a neuropathological entity that can only be identified after death using immunohistochemistry and microscopic examination of brain tissue. New consensus criteria for traumatic encephalopathy syndrome were published in 2021, and researchers can now begin to determine whether postmortem CTE-NC is associated with mild cognitive impairment, neurobehavioral dysregulation, or dementia during life. A recent study found increased FTP uptake in several brain regions among former ASF players with subjective neurocognitive complaints compared to a small sample of non-football playing controls, while another showed higher frontotemporal FTP binding in amyloid-positive versus amyloid-negative patients. These findings led some clinicians to utilize FTP PET as a diagnostic tool among people with suspected CTE-NC. We therefore conducted this study to critically evaluate the clinical utility of FTP PET among former ASF players and similar populations. Surprisingly, we were unable to replicate the findings of the prior study as we detected no FTP differences between former football players (even when limited to those with significant neurocognitive complaints) and control participants. An important similarity between these studies is the complete lack of association between FTP uptake and objective neurocognitive functioning thereby emphasizing the difficulty in explaining different clinical phenotypes using objective diagnostic testing. It is also noteworthy that race-associated differences were seen in the extent of off-target binding in the hippocampus by the choroid plexus, as reported in other studies. Given that the hippocampus is one of the key memory centers believed to be affected by CTE-NC and therefore worthy of in-depth study in former professional ASF players, data from this study
suggests that FTP might be confounded in populations that include Black participants or others with high neuromelanin expression. In aggregate, our data question the clinical utility of FTP PET imaging for the ante-mortem detection of CTE-NC in former ASF players and highlight the need for future work to define clinical evaluation of suspected CTE-NC.

There is considerable interest in whether CTE-NC underlies neurocognitive impairment among people exposed to RHI including former ASF players. The lack of association between FTP uptake and objective neurocognitive testing, now consistent across two independent studies of prior ASF players, challenges this notion. There are several possible explanations for this null finding. First, it is possible that FTP is less adequately specific as a tracer for the cerebral p-Tau isoform responsible for CTE-NC than previously proposed and thus failed to identify former ASF players with bona fide CTE-NC. Second, it is possible that acquired cerebral tauopathies with more regional specificity than that typically associated with postmortem-verified CTE-NC may account for antemortem neurocognitive impairment or that FTP may not detect specific trauma-related tau isoforms. It is also possible that FTP shows only a strong affinity for p-Tau in those with severe disease. Finally, it is possible that neurocognitive symptoms among former ASF players are driven by alternative forms of pathology and clinical conditions. Disease processes including hypertension, mood disorders, chronic inflammation, and disordered sleep may be underappreciated causes of neurocognitive complaints. Future work examining the diagnostic accuracy of newer generation p-Tau PET tracers, the clinical correlates of entorhinal cortex p-Tau uptake, and the role of alternative causes of neurocognitive impairment represent a scientific imperative.

We acknowledge limitations of the current study. First, control participant data were derived from a small sample comprised of white participants. While it is possible that a larger and more racially diverse control sample may have yielded different results, we suspect this is unlikely as an all-white control group, not susceptible to neuromelanin off-target binding, would be more likely to show differences between players and controls. Second, former ASF players in the current study were slightly younger than those similarly studied, raising the possibility that FTP-uptake related to ASF participation is age dependent. However, sensitivity analyses examining older ASF players in our sample did
not reveal differences across age. FTP may only positively identify p-tau pathology in extreme phenotypes, which likely does not comprise the majority of ASF participants. Finally, we did not adjust for multiple comparisons because the current study was designed with a priori hypotheses regarding FTP and CTE-NC-relevant brain regions of interest. Multiple comparisons adjustment would eliminate the marginally significant relationship seen in the entorhinal cortex in players with football exposure, rendering all results null.

Conclusions

This study detected little difference in FTP uptake among former professional ASF players, including those with subjective neurocognitive complaints, compared to controls. Among former ASF players, we detected a marginal novel association between professional career duration and FTP uptake in the entorhinal cortex, a brain region associated with age-related p-tau aggregation and AD neuropathologic change. These findings question the clinical utility of FTP PET for the evaluation of suspected CTE-NC, while highlighting the need for future studies exploring entorhinal cortex p-Tau deposition among people exposed to RHI.
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Table 1. Sample characteristics for former football players and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Former football players (n=27)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years of age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>50.1±7.1</td>
<td>54.6±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black race, no. (%)</td>
<td>13 (48.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, no. (%)</td>
<td>27 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid-β score (PiB DVR, mean (SD))</td>
<td>1.02±0.04</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years played in NFL, mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.6±3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total years of football, mean (SD)</td>
<td>23.0±3.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion signs and symptoms summary score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>34.4±37.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of first exposure in years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.7±2.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuro-QOL Applied Cognition, mean (SD) (T-score)</td>
<td>40.3±10.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.9±5.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-KEFS Category Fluency, mean (SD) (scaled score)</td>
<td>11.3±3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAB List Learning Delayed Recall (T-score), mean (SD)</td>
<td>45.7±13.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: D-KEFS=Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System; NFL=National Football League; PHQ-9=Patient Health Questionnaire-9, a measure of depression; and SD=standard deviation.
Table 2. Associations between $^{18}$F-FTP SUVR PET tracer uptake and football exposures adjusted for age, race, and position. Parameter estimates and confidence intervals are in units of standard deviation for both brain atlas-derived (A) and FreeSurfer derived (B) estimates (n=27).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Brain atlas region SUVR</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total years of football</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-0.016, 0.048</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.035, 0.024</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial temporal</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-0.024, 0.055</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of NFL seasons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-0.015, 0.036</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.021, 0.027</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial temporal</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>-0.02, 0.044</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of first exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.007, 0.044</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-0.012, 0.035</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial temporal</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.03, 0.036</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concussion symptom score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>(-0.049, 0.005)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>(-0.031, 0.021)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial temporal</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(-0.026, 0.045)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### B. FreeSurfer region SUVR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( \beta  )</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total years of football</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.088, 0.038</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.022, 0.056</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entorhinal</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.003, 0.074</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampus</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.016, 0.042</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of NFL seasons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.057, 0.045</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.014, 0.047</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entorhinal</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.001, 0.061</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampus</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.003, 0.042</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of first exposure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>-0.062, 0.043</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-0.015, 0.047</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entorhinal</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.002, 0.059</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampus</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>-0.003, 0.042</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concussion signs and symptom score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>(-0.053, 0.061)</td>
<td>0.893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>(-0.019, 0.049)</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entorhinal</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>(-0.028, 0.044)</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampus</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>(-0.037, 0.015)</td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Associations between $[^{18}\text{F}]$-FTP uptake and neuropsychological and neurocognitive assessments. Age and race adjusted associations between brain atlas (A) and FreeSurfer-based (B) SUVR PET tracer uptake and neuropsychological test performance. SUVR parameter estimates are shown as scaled per standard deviation of the SUVR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. Brain atlas SUVR</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>B. FreeSurfer SUVR</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Category Fluency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed Recall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PHQ-9) N = 27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(D-KEFS) N = 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(NAB List Learning) N = 23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>-2.219, 2.186</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-2.45, 0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-8.942, 0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>3.333</td>
<td>2.277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-3.31, 1.251</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.231, -1.423, 0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.558</td>
<td>-4.116 , 0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.558</td>
<td>-4.116 , 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.885</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial temporal</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>-1.238, 3.134</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-2.524, 0.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>-6.007, 0.93</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>-6.007, 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>-1.306</td>
<td>-3.702, 1.09</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-1.87, 0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>-3.102, 0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>1.564</td>
<td>8.483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>-2.329, 2.146</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-1.765, 0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.989</td>
<td>-3.714, 0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>7.691</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entorhinal</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>-2.213, 2.166</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-1.747, 0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.817</td>
<td>-3.643, 0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>1.453</td>
<td>7.278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampus</td>
<td>0.013 -2.179, 2.205 0.99</td>
<td>-1.747, 0.85</td>
<td>3.991 -1.213, 9.195</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI=Confidence interval and SUVR=standardized uptake value ratios.
Figure 1. Voxelwise linear regression analysis of Flortaucipir SUVR statistic parametric maps comparing controls and former ASF players. Highlighted voxels indicate higher regional uptake among former players compared to controls.

The significance threshold (P<0.005 uncorrected for multiple comparisons) is indicated at the t-value shown in the color bar. Numbers listed below each brain map correspond to the distance (in mm) above (positive) or below (negative) the anterior and posterior commissures. The left hemisphere is shown on the right.
Figure 2. Comparison of regional [18F]-FTP SUVRs. (A) Comparison of regional [18F]-FTP uptake derived from brain atlas-based analysis between former ASF players (n=27) and controls (n=11) in the superior frontal, left parietal, and medial temporal cortices. P-values derived from Mann–Whitney tests. (B) Age-adjusted partial correlations between total years of football play and [18F]-FTP uptake in these three brain regions. P-values derived from age-adjusted partial Spearman correlations.
Figure 3. Comparison of ASF exposure and [18F]-FTP SUVRs in medial temporal subregions. Comparison of regional [18F]-FTP uptake derived from FreeSurfer-based analysis between controls and ASF players in the hippocampus, amygdala, entorhinal cortex and parahippocampus. Triangles indicate Black former ASF players and circles indicate white former ASF players.
Figure 4. Age-restricted [18F]-FTP uptake analysis.

(A) comparison of regional [18F]-FTP uptake derived from brain atlas-based analyses in the superior frontal, left parietal and medial temporal cortex. (B) FreeSurfer-based analysis comparing controls and ASF players in the hippocampus, amygdala, entorhinal cortex and parahippocampus. Triangles indicate Black former ASF players and circles indicate white former ASF players.
Figure 5. [18F]-FTP uptake analysis restricted to ASF players reporting subjective neurocognitive complaints. (A) comparison of regional [18F]-FTP uptake derived from brain atlas-based analyses in the superior frontal, left parietal and medial temporal cortex. (B) FreeSurfer-based analysis comparing controls and ASF players in the hippocampus, amygdala, entorhinal cortex and parahippocampus. Triangles indicate Black former ASF players and circles indicate white former ASF players.